

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,337	12/17/2003	Kenro Ohsawa	OOCL-32/CON (2TS-00S0337-	9711
26479 7590 10/26/2006			EXAMINER	
STRAUB & POKOTYLO 620 TINTON AVENUE			AMINI, JAVID A	
BLDG. B, 2N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TINTON FAL	LS, NJ 07724	•	2628	<del></del>

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5%	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/738,337	OHSAWA, KENRO
Examiner	Art Unit
Javid A. Amini	2628

	Javid A. Amini	2628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	* *						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
<ul> <li>         \[             \interprecessarian \]         The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because             (a)  \[             \interprecessarian \]         They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);             (b)  \[             \interprecessarian \]         They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);         </li> </ul>							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.					
NOTE: The proposed claims raised new issues.	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	•				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	M	1				
		EE M. TUNG					
	CLIDED//ISO	EV PATENT EXAM!	NER				